

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11141-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.12.2023

Vijant Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional A.G., Haryana,
Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant A.G., Haryana and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J.(Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following
substantive relief:-

*“Petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ of
Mandamus to the official respondents to stop the illegal
constructions over the colony/property measuring 5.61
Acres whose licence stands cancelled vide order dated
24.7.2015 (Annexure P-2) and to issue further directions
to stop execution of fresh sale deeds being executed
illegally by the private respondent sans licence and in
violation of the order dated 24.7.2015 (Annexure P-2);*

With a further prayer to issue a writ of Certiorari cancelling all the sale deeds executed after the passing of order dated 24.7.2015, having been executed without licence and without any lawful authority, leaving the State Exchequer seriously prejudiced;

With a further prayer to refer the entire matter agency as to some independent investigating to how the private respondent succeeded in hoodwinking the Government by executing illegal sale deeds and by raising illegal constructions despite the prohibitory orders and constitution of a special committee to undertake development works in the above said land and recover the charges due to the official respondents/Government agencies”

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that in the wake of the order of even date, passed by this Court in **CWP-15388-2018 (Manmohan Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others)**, let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority to examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, as sought to be raised in the petition at hand. And, the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed, after hearing the petitioner, as also the other stakeholders, within a period of two months from today.

Learned counsel for petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned State counsel and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in view of the statement made by him.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall consider/examine the matter in issue, and pass appropriate orders, in

accordance with law, within the time indicated by learned State counsel, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

07.12.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No