

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13882-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 04.07.2023**

M/s Learnnet Skills Limited

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. IPS Kohli, Advocate, and
Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Advocate, for the respondents.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner being aggrieved by communication/order dated 05.06.2023, issued by the respondent(s), blacklisting the petitioner from participating in any activities conducted by the respondent-NISE, for a period of three years, i.e., from 02.09.2022 to 01.09.2025.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this action has been taken by the respondent-authorities without giving any notice for blacklisting the petitioner and without affording any opportunity to submit a response to the same. It is submitted that the petitioner has already filed two representations, dated 20.06.2023 (P-32) and 24.06.2023 (P-33), requesting the authorities to withdraw the order of blacklisting, which are pending before them, and no decision thereon has been taken, although the respondent-authorities have accepted the explanation submitted by the

petitioner relating to certain discrepancies in the attendance dates and has also released all funds to the petitioner except 5% relating to training.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents, on advance copy, submits that the authority concerned shall consider the petitioner's representations dated 20.06.2023 and 24.06.2023 (Annexures P-32 & P-33), and would issue a notice relating to blacklisting to the petitioner within a period of one week from today, if not already issued, and shall thereafter give an opportunity of hearing and decide the matter in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order within a week thereafter.

In view of the aforesaid statement of learned counsel for the respondents-Union of India, and in view of the fact that the proceedings for blacklisting would be taken up from the stage of issuance of notice as stated by the counsel for the respondents, therefore, while the petition is disposed of in terms of the statements, it is further ordered that the order of blacklisting would remain in abeyance and would be subject to the ultimate decision taken by the authorities. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(Ravi Shanker Jha)
Chief Justice

(Arun Palli)
Judge

04.07.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO