

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M No.31765 of 2023
Date of Decision: 05.07.2023

Ashish Kumar
...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another
...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would take up all the pleas, as may be permissible to him under law, at the time of framing of the charges by the trial Court.

Mr. Ankur Bali, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, UT, Chandigarh, who has appeared on behalf of respondent No.1 in pursuance of the copy of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-UT in advance, has no objection to the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

05.07.2023	(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
neetu	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No