

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANIDGARH**

262

**CWP No.17088 of 2022  
Date of Decision: 03.02.2023**

Tannu Malik

**..... Petitioner**

Versus

Board of School Education Haryana and another

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J (ORAL)**

This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to rectify/correct the name of mother of the petitioner to Parmila instead of Promila in the 10<sup>th</sup> mark sheet (Secondary Examination) issued by respondent No.1 dated 22.05.2017 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as 'Jigyaa Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others' and other connected matters reported as 2021(7) SCC 535 by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file

representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the above said judgment and prays that the respondents be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-Board has stated that they have no objection to the said course of action.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- 1) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme court in ***Jigyada Yadav (minor)'s case*** (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- 2) The respondents are directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

**03.02.2023**

*D.Bansal*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No