

206 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33759-2022

Date of decision: 09.03.2023

Ran Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case bearing FIR No.70 dated 02.07.2022 under Sections 120-B/420/467/468/471 of IPC registered at Police Station City Kanina, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.07.2022 (Annexure P-3).

Vide order dated 24.08.2022, arrest of the petitioner was stayed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that a quashing petition i.e. CRM-M-33144-2022 has been filed and the matter has been compromised between the parties in the same FIR. In this regard, report of the concerned trial Court has also been received mentioning therein that the compromise is genuine.

In view of the above fact that connected petition CRM-M-33144-2022 seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise has been allowed vide order of an even date, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed

in this petition.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed off as having become infructuous.

09.03.2023
Parveen kumar

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No