

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CWP-17305-2015
Date of decision: 16.01.2023**

DR. PARVEEN KUMAR JANUJA

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the select list of candidates issued on 14.08.2015 for the post of Assistant Director, Toxicology.

The relevant facts, in brief, are required to be noticed.

The petitioner pursuant to the recruitment notice issued by the department applied for selection. Initially, only one candidate was found eligible for selection. However, in order to give opportunity to various other applicants to submit their proper experience certificate, another opportunity was granted. The petitioner was permitted to write the exam held on 05.08.2015 and 06.08.2015. Thereafter, certain candidates were selected. The petitioner claims that the selection is mala fide as the result of written examination was declared only after the interview. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that from the very beginning, the effort was to exclude the petitioner from the select list.

The respondent has already filed the reply.

It may be noted here that the petitioner has not impleaded the selected candidates. In the reply filed by the official respondents, it has been stated that the list of the short-listed candidates was issued, calling them for interview. It is submitted that the final result is to be declared after compiling the result of the written examination as well as interview.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner failed to draw the attention of the Court to any alleged mala fide act on the part of the respondents.

The petitioner has not filed any replication disputing that the list of the short-listed candidates was published in order to call three times of the total number of vacancies for the interview. It has also been pointed out that the petitioner secured 110.8480 marks in the said examination, whereas, the selected candidates had scored 182.560, 149.960 and 143.440 marks in the examination.

In view of the aforesaid, no ground to issue the writ as prayed for by the petitioner, is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 16th, 2023

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*