

CRM-M-33349-2022 (O&M)

292 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33349-2022 (O&M)
Decided on: 19.01.2023

Manoj ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Singh Saini, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0254	21.05.2022	Adarsh Nagar, District Faridabad	148, 149, 323, 342, 427, 307, 506 IPC and 25 of Arms Act

1. The petitioner apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, had come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 01.08.2022, the petitioner was granted interim protection, which was extended vide order dated 06.01.2023.

3. Aggrieved by the intimidation and threatening by the petitioner, the complainant has come up before this Court seeking cancellation of bail, through CRM-42036-2022. Counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner was main accused.

4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has voluntarily complied with all the directions including additional conditions. He further states at bar that he would personally call the petitioner to his office and give counseling to him. The petitioner's counsel further argued that the custodial investigation would serve no purpose whatsoever, and the pre-trial incarceration would cause an irreversible injustice to the petitioner and family.

5. The State's counsel opposes the bail.

6. Given the fact that the petitioner has complied with all the conditions imposed by this Court and the statement of counsel for the petitioner, the petition is allowed.

Interim order dated 01.08.2022 (extended vide order dated 06.01.2023) is absolute.

CRM-M-33349-2022 (O&M)

Liberty reserved to the complainant to file a fresh application for cancellation of bail in case, the petitioner flaunts any condition and further liberty reserved to file an application to the concerned SSP for protection in case, the complainant apprehends any threat at the hands of the petitioner.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

19.01.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.