

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 6566 of 2023
Date of decision: 05.07.2023**

Wasim Akram and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, Senior DAG, Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty, as they are stated to be in a live-in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are over 18 years of age. He also submits that the petitioners are stated to be married and have been living separately from their respective spouse. They are in a live-in relationship with each other. He has referred to the judgment of this Court in the case of Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others, CRWP No. 102 of 2022, decided on 07.01.2022, wherein under somewhat similar circumstances when the parties, who were earlier married and divorce had not been obtained, were in live-in relationship, this Court had directed the respondents to consider and decide their representation and

after assessing threat perception, take appropriate action in accordance with law. He has drawn my attention to the representation dated 26.06.2023 (Annexure P-3) sent by petitioner No.1 to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (respondent No.2).

3. Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, Senior DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. Heard. Without making any observations on the legality of the relationship or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (respondent No.2) to look into the representation dated 26.06.2023 (Annexure P-3) and after assessing threat perception, take appropriate action, if necessary, in accordance with law to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.
6. However, it is clarified that this order shall not protect the petitioners from any legal proceedings instituted against them in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

05.07.2023
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No