

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

272

TA-789-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.10.2023

Rajbala and others**...Petitioners**

Versus

Ashok and others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. K. S. Dhanora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for transfer of the petition, bearing MACP No. 116 dated 26.03.2021, titled as *Smt. Rajbala etc. vs. Ashok etc.*, filed under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, pending before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Jhajjar to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Sonapat.

As per office report, respondent No. 1 is served, however, there is no representation on his behalf.

Learned counsel, appearing for respondent No. 2, submits that by keeping the question of jurisdiction open, the present petition may be allowed.

In view of the same, the present petition is allowed, with the following directions:-

- (i) *The aforesaid case, pending before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Jhajjar will be*

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:127685

transferred to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Sonapat.

- (iii) The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Jhajjar is directed to transfer all the record pertaining to the aforesaid case to Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Sonapat.*
- (iv) The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court at Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Sonapat within a period of 01 month from today.*

However, the question of jurisdiction is left open.

03.10.2023

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>