

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33685-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.4.2023

Shri Ashok Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

UT Chandigarh and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Ms. Sumanjit Kaur, Advocate, for

Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of Complaint No.16335
of 2001 dated 2.8.2001 on the basis of compromise.

The above stated complaint was registered on the statement of
the complainant/respondent No.2-Chaman Lal against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court
through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the complaint in
this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has
been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq
Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned
parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid
compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial

Magistrate, 1st Class, Chandigarh along with statements of the parties has

been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and Complaint No.16335 of 2001 dated 2.8.2001 titled Chaman Lal v. Ashok Kumar and others under Section 156(III) of Cr.P.C. and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

April 26, 2023
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No