

207 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-31549-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.08.2023

Punit @ Puneet Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short “Cr.P.C”) for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.337 dated 21.05.2023, under Sections 323, 427, 452 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra.

2. Allegations against the petitioner are that he along with his wife trespassed into the house of complainant, caused injuries to him, damaged his vehicle (Tata Harrier Car) by hitting it with stick and while leaving the house, threatened him with dire consequences.

3. This Court vide order dated 04.07.2023, granted interim bail to petitioner and the same reads as under:-

“Contends, inter-alia, that co-accused Sarabjeet Kaur, has already been granted concession of interim anticipatory bail by the Coordinate Bench, vide order dated 22.06.2023 passed in CRM-M-31060-2023.

Notice of motion for 14.07.2023.

To be heard along with CRM-M-31060-2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

4. Learned counsel on instructions from petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, he joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required by the Police.
5. The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from quarter concerned and fairly submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage.
6. In view of above, interim order dated 04.07.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
7. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.
8. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.
9. Disposed off accordingly.
10. Pending criminal misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

11.08.2023
SN

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No