

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(239)

CWP-16139-2016**Date of decision: - 06.12.2023****Sanjeev Kumar and others****....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Nikhil Singh, Advocate
for Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the action of the respondents in not allowing the petitioners to continue on their respective posts.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that there is no interim order in favour of the petitioners and they had been relieved in the year 2017 and nothing survives in the present writ petition.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that he is not in touch with the petitioners and has, thus, prayed that the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but however, liberty be granted to the petitioners for revival of the present writ petition in case any cause survives.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present writ petition or to file a fresh one in case any cause survives.

December 06, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No