

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6419-2023

Date of Decision: 30.06.2023

Navpreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present : Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents Nos.2 and 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against wishes of private respondent Nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1-Navpreet Kaur, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2-Vishal, aged about 26 years, have got married on 26.06.2023, against wishes of the family members / relatives / friends of petitioner No. 1 (Navpreet Kaur), arrayed as respondent Nos. 4 and 5. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening and trying to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

3. A photograph of the marriage of petitioners has been handed over in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions and is marked as "MARK-A".

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3-State only.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Jhund, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3-State.

6. Given the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek any response by the official respondents or even to serve the private respondents No.4 and 5.

7. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 –Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, District Panchkula, to look into the representation dated 26.06.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of private respondents. However, this direction shall not be construed to validate the marriage, said to have taken place between petitioner Nos. 1 and 2. This order shall also not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

June 30, 2023

Himani

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No