

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(206)

CWP-16066-2016

Date of decision: - 04.07.2023

Satish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to respondent No.3 to furnish the information (certified copy of resolution) sought by the petitioner vide its application dated 02.06.2015 (Annexure P-10).

2. Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, an earlier writ petition was filed by the petitioner and the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-9) had permitted the petitioner to withdraw the writ petition to exercise his right provided under Section 83(2) of the Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 for obtaining all the certified copies of

all the resolutions passed by the newly constituted executive. It is further submitted that as per the short reply by way of affidavit, filed by Ms. Sushma Baweja, District Registrar, Firms & Societies, Kurukshetra, Haryana, the copy of resolution w.e.f. 09.09.2015 to 21.11.2015 were collected from the office of Administrator-cum-General Manager Roadways Kurukshetra of the society and sent to the petitioner through registered post on 14.12.2022 and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, has submitted that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

July 04, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No