

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6446-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.06.2023

SIYA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present : Mr. Prince Sarangal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents Nos.2 and 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against wishes of private respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Siya, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2 – Anshul Sharma, aged about 23 years, have got married on 26.06.2023, against wishes of the family members / relatives / friends of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondent Nos.4 to 6. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening and trying to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed on the record the marriage photographs of the petitioners, showing the factum of their

marriage, which are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions and marked as 'MARK 'A'.

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3-State only.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3-State.

6. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur to look into the representation dated 26.06.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of private respondents. However, this direction shall not be construed to validate the marriage, said to have taken place between petitioner Nos. 1 and 2. This order shall also not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

June 30, 2023

gurpreet

(HARSH BUNGER)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No