

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16695-2020

Date of Decision:20.10.2023

SURESH KUMAR SOOD

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents- UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 28.08.2020 (Annexure P-8) whereby petitioner has been subjected to premature retirement.

2. The petitioner, at the outset, confines his prayer to direction to respondents to release his retiral benefits.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents- UOI submits that respondent is duty bound to release retiral benefits of the petitioner, however, petitioner has not filed application in the prescribed form and if he files application in the prescribed form, it would be processed and all the benefits would be released.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would file application in the prescribed form and

respondent may be directed to decide his application in a time bound manner.

5. In the wake of statements of both side, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to consider and decide claim of the petitioner with respect to retiral benefits within 4 weeks from the date of filing application.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>