

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6386-2023

Date of decision: 28.06.2023

Reetika and another

.....Petitioners

 V/s

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Hasan Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No. 4 and 5 as the petitioners are in live-in-relationship.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-3) has already been submitted before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala, which has not been taken into consideration.

Notice of Motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

After going through the contents of the representation, the representation seems to be vague, wherein no specific detail has been mentioned.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners will file a detailed representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala-respondent No.2 and he be directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners.

Prayer is allowed and the petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation before Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala-respondent No.2 as the life and liberty of the petitioners is at stake.

On their doing so, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners and to deal with the representation in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

28.06.2023
Sapna

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No