

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

**CRM-M-32886-2022
Date of decision : 05.01.2023**

Sandeep Singh and Anr.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr.Chetan Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners- Sandeep Singh and Laxmi Kaur have filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.60 dated 30.03.2021, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala and all other subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise between the parties (Annexure P-2) .

The brief facts of the case are that complainant-Soma Rani mother of the victim filed written complaint against Sandeep Singh and another alleging that marriage of her daughter Simmi Kaur was performed with Sandeep Singh on 30.06.2019. At the time of marriage dowry articles were given as detailed in the FIR. Soon after marriage, her daughter was taunted for bringing dowry articles of inferior

quality. They started raising demand for a car and gold *Kada*. The in-laws family was told that they were not in a position to satisfy more demands. During her stay in the matrimonial home, she was ill-treated on account of their demands. She was also sent back to her parental house and thereafter, they were not ready to take her back unless their demand was satisfied. Efforts were made to effect compromise with the intervention of respectables but the accused persons turned out to be greedy persons. All the dowry articles were misappropriated by the in-laws family. Ultimately, the matter was reported to the police and the FIR got registered.

The petitioners filed this petition for the quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. The petitioners and respondents No.2 & 3 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. The detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura dated 19.09.2022. The statement of respondents No.2 and 3- Soma Rani and Simmi Kaur have been recorded, where they confirmed the compromise with the petitioners. They confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion from any side and they have no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

The petitioners-Sandeep Singh and Laxmi Kaur also confirmed this fact in their separate statement. The statement of ASI Narinder Singh is also recorded who further confirmed that the accused are neither involved in any other case nor have been declared as proclaimed offenders.

Therefore, from the report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura, it is clear that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties. They have mutually settled all their disputes. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

Therefore considering these facts the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and FIR No.60 dated 30.03.2021, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

05.01.2023
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No