

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6377-2023

Date of decision: 28.06.2023

KHUSHBU AND ANR.

...Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents No. 4 to 6, as the petitioners are in live-in relationship.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-3) has already been submitted before the respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal, which has not been taken into consideration.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

After going through the contents of the representation, the representation seems to be vague, wherein no specific detail has been mentioned.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners will file a detailed representation before the Superintendent of

Police, Karnal-respondent No. 2 and he be directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners.

Prayer is allowed and the petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation before the concerned authorities as the life and liberty of the petitioners is at stake.

On their doing so, respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners and to deal with the representation in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

28.06.2023
Ajay Goswami

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No