

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-12275-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.02.2023**

SATISH KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. R.V.S. Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that the petitioner as per the admitted case came in employment only on 16.04.2007. As per the policy decision relied upon by the petitioner, the requisite length of service was 10 years and, thus, he would not be covered under the said policy. Apart from that the petitioner has unfortunately expired during the pendency of the present writ petition.

Resultantly, the present writ petition is ordered to be dismissed.

February 17, 2023
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No