

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-10484-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2023

SAT NARYANA BANSAL AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

DISTRICT REGISTRAR, FIRMS AND SOCIETIES, PANIPAT AND
OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Akshay Bhan , Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 15.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition had been filed under Articles 226/227
of the Constitution of India for raising a challenge to the order dated
17.04.2018 (Annexure P-16).

Counsel for the private respondents contends that the
dispute raised by means of the present petition is now only academic
insofar as legality of the order is concerned. He contends that the term
of the private respondents has already come to an end.

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid
statement.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid changed

CWP-10484-2018 (O&M) -2-

circumstances, while keeping the question of law open, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MARCH 22, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No