

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRA-S-934-2021
Date of decision: 15.02.2023

RAMESH KUMAR BALMIKI

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the appellant.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed challenging the impugned judgment/order dated 09.07.2021 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat whereby an application has been dismissed for issuance of directions to the SP Panipat as well as to the SHO, PS City Panipat.

Notice in this case was issued on 10.09.2021. However, as per the office report, process fee was not filed, therefore, the notice could not be issued. Thereafter, on 08.12.2022, the following order was passed:-

“As per office report, notice could not be issued due to non-filing of the process fee.

Process fee be filed within 01 week from today. On so doing, issue notice to the respondents for 23.01.2023.

It is made clear that in case the process fee is not filed, the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellant as well as his learned counsel.”

As per office report dated 19.01.2023, notice could not be issued to

the respondents due to non filing of the process fee. Copy of the order was sent to the appellant through learned CJM, Panipat and learned counsel for the appellant through E-mail, despite that there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

February 15, 2023

Mehak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No