

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

255

CRM-M-31278-2020

Date of Decision: April 26, 2023

Bank of Baroda

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM:HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.C.S. Pasricha, Advocate and
Mr. Satinder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by detaching of the property which was already mortgaged with the Bank of Baroda against the secured loan, the said Bank has come up before this court.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case directions may be issued to the trial Court to decide the application Annexure P-2 and conclude the same in a time bound manner.

3. The prayer is innocuous.

4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to decide the application Annexure P-2 by 31.05.2023 on top priority It is clarified that the petitioner shall not seek any adjournment before the trial Court, in case he does so, this order stands recalled automatically under Section 362 r/w 482 Cr.P.C. without any further reference to this Court.

5. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to come to this Court again in cases need so arises in future. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

April 26, 2023

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: No