

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:066775-DB

(203)

CWP-16264-2022

Decided on :09.05.2023

Seema Sharma

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Dewan Housing Finance Corporation Ltd. and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India challenge has been made to the notice dated 07.07.2022 (Annexure P-16) issued by respondent No.4 whereby there was a proposal to take physical possession of the property of the petitioner.

2. On 27.07.2022 it was brought to the notice of the Coordinate Bench that there was a stay order passed by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi which had been noted by the District Magistrate, Gurugram vide order dated 10.04.2019 (Annexure P-14). In such circumstances, directions were issued to the District Magistrate to initiate disciplinary action against Naib Tehsildar-respondent No.3 for acting in violation of the said order and give a report.

3. In the reply filed, it has been mentioned as soon as the factum of the stay order dated 04.06.2018 of the NCDRC came to the knowledge of the said officer, she had withdrawn the said notice dated 07.07.2022 and the

proceedings qua taking the physical possession of the mortgaged property had been kept in abeyance. Regarding the factum of disciplinary action by the District Magistrate, respondent No.3 was warned to remain careful in future, vide letter dated 24.08.2022 issued by the District Magistrate, Gurugram (Annexure R-3/3).

4. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

09.05.2023
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No