

239

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-2091-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.02.2023

Pankush Singla

....Petitioner

Versus

Harish Kumar Chopra and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 20.09.2019 vide which on a representation given by counsel for the respondent that the reservation criteria as per the Office Memorandum dated 31.01.2019 is complied with by the respondent/Institute, the writ petition was disposed of as infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that till date, the same has not been complied with.

Clause 16 of the advertisement, reads as under:-

“Reservation in Direct recruitment shall be applicable as per Govt. of India Norms. The Appendix to DoPT O.M. No.36011/6/2010-Estt (Res) dated June, 2010 and Govt. of India O.M. No.36039/1/2019-Estt (Res) dated 31st January, 2019.”

Counsel for the respondent submits that the said criteria is being followed. It is further submitted that the review application filed by the petitioner for revival of the writ petition was also dismissed.

In view of the above, there is no willful disobedience.

Dismissed.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)

JUDGE

01.02.2023

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No