

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CRWP-6261-2023

Date of Decision: 23.06.2023

Rajwant Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present:- Mr. PS Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondents No.6 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner and her son are very marginal farmers having total 04 acres of land. Respondents No.6 to 9 are the commission agents of the petitioner and due to the less land holding, the son of the petitioner took Rs.11 lacs from the private respondents and mortgaged one acre of his land. But respondents NO.6 to 9 are very clever persons and instead of one acre, they have mentioned 2 acres and instead of Rs.11 lacs, they mentioned Rs.11 lacs for each acre, so total Rs.22 lacs have been shown to be given. Later on, they imposed interest over interest. Thereafter, they started threatening the petitioner and his son to execute the sale deed of the remaining land. It has been contended that due to the harassment at the hands of the private respondents, son of the petitioner consumed some poisonous substance on

CRWP-6261-2023

2-

28.05.2023 and was admitted at Tripta Devi Memorial Akash Hospital Mansa and he remained admitted there upto 06.06.2023. He has further submitted that on 08.06.2023, two police officials from Police Station Bhikhi came to the house of the petitioner and called the son of the petitioner to the police station. On 09.06.2023, they went to the police station then respondents No.6 and 7 were also present there and in their presence, respondent No.5 threatened the petitioner and her son. Thereafter, in the evening of 09.06.2023, son of the petitioner went to his fields, but thereafter his whereabouts are not known and the petitioner is having the apprehension that he had been kidnapped by respondent No.5 at the instance of respondents No.6 to 9. It has also been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has already moved a representation dated 12.06.2023, Annexure P-2 to respondent No.3, but no action has been taken upon the same.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

3. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, to look into the representation dated 12.06.2023, Annexure P-2 and to take appropriate action, if any required, as per law, at the earliest.

23.06.2023

monika

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No