

109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6302-2023
Date of Decision: 28.06.2023

NANDANI MATTU AND ANR.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Ankush Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG Punjab.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 for protecting their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5, who are parents of petitioner No. 1.

Learned State counsel submits that statement of respondent No. 4- Raj Kumar, who is father of petitioner No. 1, has been recorded, who has stated that he has not objection with the marriage of the petitioners.

In view of the above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition.

However, it is made clear that in case any threat is received by the petitioners in future, they may approach the appropriate authorities by making fresh representation.

Present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

28.06.2023
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No