

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.31125 of 2023
Date of decision: 30th October, 2023

Ranjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kanwal Goyal and Mr. Shobhit Rapria, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Ms. Hasan Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.53 dated 09.06.2023 under Section 306 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Malout, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 17.07.2023, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation on the basis of following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on 23.06.2023:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a civil suit was filed in the year 2017 which was decreed on 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) against Harmander Singh and his younger brother i.e. Jagit Singh. The petitioner has no

role in the present case. The petitioner has already filed an execution. There is no suicide note and there is no phone call by the petitioner to the deceased to give threat. There is no other evidence to show that the petitioner has threatened the deceased. The petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 17.07.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 17.07.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 30, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No