

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

244

**CWP-16089-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.02.2023**

Rajesh Datt

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Anshul Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.3-Haryana Staff Selection Commission to revise the answer key (Annexure P-11) to the extent that answers to 6 questions of question booklet (Series C) (Annexure P-10) are incorrect and thus, the petitioner is entitled to 12 marks on account of revision of answer key.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has joined as a Physical Training Instructor (PTI) and to that extent, the present petition no longer survives. However, he says that the only issue that remains to be agitated is qua the grant of seniority and fixation of the pay of the petitioner as per his entitlement.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition and prays to grant liberty to the petitioner to move a representation to the appropriate authority for grant of seniority and fixation of pay.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to move a representation to the competent authorities within 15 days, if so advised, and his representation be decided by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks, thereafter.

Disposed of.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

28.02.2023
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No