

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.118

CWP-13670-2023
Date of decision: 05.07.2023

Suresh PalPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through an order dated 11.10.2019 the Director, State Transport, Haryana rejected the request of the petitioner for grant of promotion as Clerk w.e.f. the date when Anant Ram, who according to the petitioner was junior to him, had been promoted. Against such rejection the petitioner approached the Government which plea of his was rejected on 19.01.2023 through an order which on the face of it is bereft of any reason.
2. Learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, fairly acknowledges the fact that the aforesaid order dated 19.01.2023 passed by the Government rejecting the petitioner's appeal is a non-speaking order and therefore, submits that the Government shall withdraw such order and pass a fresh order which shall not only contain reasons but shall also be passed after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.
3. In the light of the afore statement, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.
4. Dismissed as not pressed.

July 05, 2023
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No