

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CRWP-6230-2023
Date of Decision:22.06.2023

BEETU AND ANR

....PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajinder Pratap Singh Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. AG, Haryana.

GURBIR SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 for protecting their life and liberty which according to them is at stake because they are in a live-in relationship. Both the petitioners claims themselves to be major. The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the private respondents are extending threats to the petitioners that they cannot live together.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that a marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a 'live-in relationship' because protection *qua* life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in '*Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-102-2022 decided on 07.01.2022 and '*Goutam Kumar and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-8088-2021 decided on 26.08.2021.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. AG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No.1 to 3. Since no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed, therefore, there is no necessity of inviting any response.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Kurushetra to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order in the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners before him on 19.06.2023 (Annexure P-3). It would be appreciated if necessary orders are passed within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Till then, protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

Disposed of.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

22.06.2023

pry/shruti

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No