

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 5570 of 2019
Date of decision: 3rd March, 2023

M/s Uday Motors and others

Appellants

Versus

M/s IndusInd Bank Ltd. and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Akhil Kashyap, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. A. S. Virk, Advocate for respondent No.1

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the appellants is not in a position to point out the grievance surviving after decision of the objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 as not only the award has been set aside but the directions were issued to appoint a fresh arbitrator as per the convenience of both the parties in Mohali in spite of the fact that as per the clause of the agreement, the arbitration had to take place in Chennai.

No interference is called for in the appeal.

The appeal is dismissed.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

3rd March, 2023
mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No