

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6162-2023

Date of Decision: 21.06.2023

Sneha & anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that both the petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 01.01.2004 and of petitioner No.2 is 01.04.2003, as per their Adhar Cards. The petitioners met and fall in love with each other. They kept secret this relation from their parents. Respondents No.4 to 6 are related to petitioner No.1 and are against the relations of the petitioners. They confined petitioner No.1 in a room, but she managed to escape and came to petitioner No.2 and started living with petitioner No.2 in a live-in-relation. As petitioner No.2 has not attained marriageable age they have decided to solemnize marriage after obtaining marriageable age by petitioner No.2. The petitioners are living with each other with their own free will and consent and are not under any threat, pressure or undue influence of each other. It has also been submitted that the petitioners have moved a representation dated 17.06.2023, Annexure P-3 to

Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, but no action has been taken on the said representation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of Court, Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

5. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 17.06.2023, Annexure P-3 and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

21.06.2023

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No