

(235)

2023:PHHC:107235

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30949 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 18, 2023

Bikram Singh @ Vikram Singh @ Bittu ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.91 dated 25.04.2022 registered under Sections 22 and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala.

Allegations are that 4000 loose intoxicant tablets containing *tramadol hydrochloride* falling in the commercial category, were recovered from the possession of co-accused Gurtaj Singh, who in his disclosure statement nominated Bikkar Singh and petitioner – Bikram Singh, who had also purchased the contraband.

It is contended by learned counsel that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner; and that he has been nominated only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, that he has no criminal antecedents and that he was in custody for the last 04 months and 05 days and so, he be allowed bail.

Status report by way of affidavit of Mrs. Neha Agarwal,

Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Samana, District Patiala

Learned State Counsel concedes that no recovery was effected from the petitioner. He also placed on record custody certificate, which reveals that petitioner is in custody for the last 04 months and 05 days and he has no criminal case pending against him.

Having regard to the afore-said facts, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

August 18, 2023

renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No