

2023:PHHC:088480

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-30914-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.07.2023

Annu Bhadana

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amit Parashar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. On 21.06.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.306 dated 11.05.2023 under Sections 323/325/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Palla, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that it is a case of version and cross version and the FIR registered on the complaint of petitioner is earlier in date than the FIR lodged by the complainant against the petitioner. The present FIR is only a counter blast. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 13.07.2023.

Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without

demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ram Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 21.06.2023 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 13, 2023
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No