

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(279)

CRM-M-30901-2023

Date of decision:- 28.11.2023

Sunil @ Babu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.125 dated 28.04.2023, registered for offences under Sections 379, 34 and 41 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 07.06.2023, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.
2. Noticing the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, who had allegedly proposed a duplicate key of the snatched vehicle, this Court is not inclined to exercise the discretionary power under Section 482, Cr.P.C. and accept the petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of a settlement.
3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to take all the available pleas before the Trial Court.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

28.11.2023

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No