

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-13576-2023

Date of decision : 03.07.2023

SURENDER KUMAR DHULL

...PETITIONER

V/S

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

Present: Mr. Monish Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition the transfer order dated 14.06.2023 (Annexure P-6) has been assailed alleging that in fact the transfer of the petitioner from Panchkula to Panipat is punitive action on account of the representation/information given by the petitioner to the Managing Director, UHBVNL, Panchkula regarding defective material supplied by the different firms. It is also the allegation of the petitioner that due to said sub-standard quality of material and other illegality being committed by various firms, UHBVNL already suffered loss of 30 crores. The counsel for the petitioner further states that the compassionate transfer shown in favour of respondent No.6 vis-à-vis the petitioner is unwarranted as he suffers from such an ailment which would confine him from performing his duties effectively at Panipat.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner finally submits that he would be satisfied if his representation

CWP-13576-2023 -2-

dated 15.06.2023 (Annexure P-8) is considered and decided by the authorities which is not being taken into account so far.

3. In view of the submissions made hereinabove, respondent No.1-Managing Director-UHBVNL, Sector-6, Panchkula is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 15.06.2023 (Annexure P-8) made by the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of 02 weeks from the date of receiving of copy of this Court.

4. The instant petition stands disposed of in the above-said terms.

03.07.2023
kothiyal

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No