

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh**Civil Revision No. 4307 of 2019 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 20.10.2023**

Balwinder Kaur and Others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana, for the respondent No.1.Mr. D.K.Singal, Advocate
for the respondent No.2 to 4.**Anil Kshetarpal, J.**

1. Called twice.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners did not come forward to assist the Court. This Court has examined the paper-book.
3. In this revision petition, the order passed by the trial court on 18.03.2019, whereby the application under Order XXVI Rule 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, for appointment of the Local Commissioner, has been dismissed, is challenged.
4. As per the two Division Bench judgments of this High Court in *Harvinder Kaur and Another vs. Godha Ram and Another* 1979 PLJ 562 and *Pritam Singh and Another vs. Sunder Lal and Others*, 1990(2) PLR 191, such order is not amenable to interference in the revisional jurisdiction.

Civil Revision No. 4307 of 2019 (O&M)

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.
6. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

October 20, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No