

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CWP-15671-2023

Date of Decision : **July 24, 2023**

DHARAMBIR AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SURESHWAR THAKUR. J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, as he submits, that he intends to seek initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent-State in the latter failing to comply with the hereinafter extracted directions, as are carried in the order made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP bearing No.3631/2021 titled as “**Bhim Singh & Ors. Vs. The State of Haryana & Ors.**”

“Permission to file Special Leave Petition is granted.

Considering the fact that the possession of the acquired land has already been taken over by the authority, the relief of lapsing of acquisition cannot be taken forward. The High Court has justly rejected that prayer.

However, the petitioner intends to take recourse of remedy under Section 101A of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition,

Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, as applicable to the State of Haryana. The petitioner is free to pursue that remedy, if so advised, provided the same is filed within four weeks from today.

We dispose of this Special Leave Petition with direction to the concerned authority to decide the representation filed by the petitioner under Section 101A of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 expeditiously, in any case, not later than two months from the date of filing of the said representation.

In the event, the decision is adverse to the petitioner, it will be open to the petitioner to assail the said order by way of writ petition. Ordered accordingly.

All contentions available to the parties are left open.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that till date his representation has not been decided.
3. Permission granted.
4. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

July 24, 2023
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No