

CRM-M-33076-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-33076-2022
Date of decision: 17.11.2023

RAM JANAM VERMA

...Petitioner

Versus

INTELLIGENCE OFFICER, DIRECOTR OF REVENUE
INTELLIGENCE, RANI JHANSI ROAD , CIVIL LINE LUDHIANA

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Senior Standing Counsel and
Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Malhi, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of bail pending trial in F.N.DRI/LDZU/855/INT-3 16.09.2021, under Sections 8, 20, 28, 29 and 60 NDPS Act, 1985.

2. Since there is a huge recovery of contraband, therefore, at this stage, this Court does not find it appropriate to grant bail pending trial to the petitioner.

3. However, the zimni orders passed by the trial Court show that repeatedly, the petitioner-accused has not been produced before the trial Court and, consequently, the trial has not proceeded any further significantly.

CRM-M-33076-2022

4. Accordingly, Superintendent, Central Jail, Ludhiana, is directed to ensure that the petitioner-accused is produced before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, is also directed to ensure that the jail authorities are provided necessary security arrangements for producing the accused before the trial Court.

5. Trial Court is further directed that if the accused is not produced even on a single date, then the Superintendent of Central Jail, Ludhiana, shall be burdened with costs for each default in the production of the accused before the trial Court. The costs shall be as deemed appropriate by the trial Court.

6. With the abovesaid observations, the present petition is disposed of.

17.11.2023

parveen kumar

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No