

205-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:145863  
CRM-M-30618-2023  
Date of Decision: November 16, 2023

Badal Vidhudi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainant.

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

On 15.06.2023, following order was passed by this Court:-

*“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner was posted as a security guard in Company No.507-508/491, HSIIDC, Rai. It is alleged that processed chicken worth Rs.40 lakhs was stolen. He further contends that the supply chain manager Ranjeet Kumar Jha has already been granted an anticipatory bail in petition filed by him bearing number CRM-M-10024 of 2023 and the same is now stated to be pending for 31.07.2023. He contends that recoveries have already been made from certain persons who have been arrested by the police during the course of investigation and that the petitioner has no other criminal case and that he is ready and willing to join investigation as and when required.*

*Notice of motion returnable for 31.07.2023.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Agency. In the event of petitioner joining investigation, he shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting officer/ investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds by him to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

*To be heard along with CRM-M-10024 of 2023.”*

Today, it is conceded by learned State counsel that the petitioner has joined the investigation, but it is submitted that recovery of ₹10,000/- which had come to the share of the petitioner, has not been got effected.

However, as per the status report filed by the State itself, the amount has already been spent by the petitioner.

Having noticed aforesaid facts and circumstances, the order dated 15.06.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

Disposed of.

November 16, 2023  
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No