

[286] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14267-2016
Date of Decision :22.11.2023

Harvinder Singh and others ...Petitioners
versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

Present : None for the petitioners.
Ms. Shivani Sharma, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Dhruv Walia, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 & 4.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (ORAL)

- [1] No one appears on behalf of the petitioners.
- [2] Learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 & 4 submits that the petitioners’ services were dispensed with and fresh selections were conducted wherein, the petitioners did not participate. Persons who have been appointed under the said selections have not been implemented as a party. The petitioners engaged themselves in a *dharna* and strike which prompted the respondents to file a civil suit for injunction for restraining them from closing the gate and building and obstructing the entry of the Principal, teachers and students of the college.
- [3] Restraint order was passed by the concerned Civil Judge as against the petitioners. Thus, they are not persons, who can be appointed on contract basis to teach the students or to continue on contract basis or guest faculty.
- [4] I have considered the submissions.
- [5] Taking into consideration the aforesaid, this Court finds that equity does not lie in favour of the petitioners.
- [6] In view thereof, the writ petition is *dismissed*.
- [7] All pending CMs stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

22.11.2023
'Rajneesh'