

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-30703-2023

Date of decision: 09.08.2023

Rachhpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. L.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0017 dated 31.03.2023 under Sections 307, 323, 341, 148, 149 and 325 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa.

2. On 20.06.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court, had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Ld. Counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that injuries No.1 and 3 which have been opined to be grievous are not attributable to the petitioner. The only injury attributed to the petitioner is in form of abrasion.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 20.06.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from ASI Jeet Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 20.06.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

09.08.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No