

259 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32028-2020

DATE OF DECISION:-16.03.2023

Nishan Singh

...Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 4-State.

Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 5 to conduct time bound inquiry on the representation dated 26.11.2019 (Annexure P-1).

In response, status report dated 09.01.2021 has already been filed on behalf of official respondents under the signatures of Mr. Kuljinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran, wherein, it has been mentioned that the representation submitted by petitioner was thoroughly inquired into and no action was recommended thereupon.

In view of the aforesaid, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition, however, the petitioner is at liberty to invoke his

remedy in accordance with law especially under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. It is further clarified that in case, the presence of petitioner is required in any other complaint, filed at the instance of respondent No.6, he shall be called upon by issuing notice under Section 41(A) Cr.P.C. by the official respondents.

Disposed of accordingly.

16.03.2023
sonika

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

whether reportable: Yes/No

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE