

2023:PHHC:082212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6070-2023

Date of decision : 19.06.2023

Mamta Sunar and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per petitioners, they have married on 04.06.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6, who happen to be the relative of petitioner No.1 i.e. Mamta Sunar.

5. Both the petitioners claim themselves to be major stating that petitioner No.1-Mamta Sunar is 02.10.2003 born and petitioner No.2-Ritik is 03.09.1999 born as per their Aadhar Cards.

2023:PHHC:082212

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 04.06.2023 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioners.
7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 6 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.
8. The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.06.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No