

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH

127

CWP-15978-2022

Date of Decision: 25.05.2023

SHAKUNTALA DEVI

... Petitioner

VERSUS

CANARA BANK AND ANR

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. N.S. Vashist , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saksham, Advocate for Mr. Ranish Pahwa, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition raises a challenge to the order/reply dated NIL (Annexure P-8) and further to issue directions to the respondents to consider the pension application of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per order dated 26.07.2022, he had sought time to place on record the relevant documents to substantiate discrimination. He informs that he had submitted an application under Right to Information Act, 2005 and that the said application including the subsequent appeal under the Act of 2005 has already been dismissed.

Under the given circumstances, he prays for withdrawal of the present petition at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh petition on the same cause of action with better and complete particulars as and when such particulars/documents/details are made available to the petitioner.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

25.05.2023

*rajender*

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No