

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-11123-CWP-2019 in/and
CWP No.21241 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision :13.03.2023

Suman Mahajan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Harshit Anand, Advocate for
Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Puneeta Sethi, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Ms. Reeta Kohli, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Vandana Kohli, Advocate for respondent No.3.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

From perusal of the order sheet, it is evident that Union of India had set up an Expert Committee to examine the existing definition of medical genetics contained in Section 2(g) of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act (PCPNDT Act), 1994. According to the statement that was made before this Court, the Expert Committee already submitted its final report before the competent authority and the status report in respect of the steps taken by the Union of India had already been filed before this Court. It is also pointed out that as on date the recommendations of the Expert Committee are being examined by various authorities of the Central Government and thereafter it is stated

that the matter would be taken up by the Parliament for making the necessary statutory changes in the Act, if required.

In the circumstances, as the aforesaid steps have already been taken by the Union of India, we do not find any reason to keep the petition pending and is accordingly disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to take up the fresh proceedings, if so advised or required, in case, any occasion arises, after making the necessary statutory changes in the Act by the Parliament.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

13.03.2023
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No