

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CWP No.10301 of 2017
Date of decision:16.01.2023

Jatinder Pal Singh Sharma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate
for respondent No.2-HPSC.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to refer the matter of wrong answer key to an Expert Committee, to reevaluate the answer sheet of the petitioner and to declare his result.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The matter was passed over in the pre-lunch session and a message was flashed on the display board for the presence of counsel for the petitioner in the post-lunch session. However, no one has appeared.

While referring to the written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.2-HPSC, it has been submitted that on receipt of objections/representations, an Expert Committee was constituted for review of questions of Question Booklet Series 'A'. The Committee reported that seven questions were ambiguous. After deleting the seven questions, candidates were assessed on the basis of remaining questions and result was published on 23.06.2017, Annexure R-2/1. He submits that in view of the above development, petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

January 16, 2023

savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>