

245

2023:PHHC:115086

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16818-2021
DECIDED ON:31st AUGUST, 2023

RAVINDER KUMAR VERMA

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANIZATION AND
OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Ms. Nikita Srivastava, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Kumar Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Brijesh, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been invoked for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Certiorari* to quash the action of respondent No.2 for fixation of less pension in PPO No. HR/KNL/44490 issued on 10.04.2020 (Annexure P-1) with further prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* to direct the respondent No.2 to re-fix the pension of petitioner taking into account average of full salary for 12 months preceding to date of retirement in accordance with provisions of the Employees Provident Fund Pension Scheme, 1995 and at par with

similarly situated retirees from the Head office and to release the arrears of pension with 18% interest per annum from the date it became due till its actual realization.

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **“Shree Krishan Sharma and others vs. Employees Provident Fund Organization and others”**, CWP No.20224 of 2021, decided on 18.10.2022, accordingly, the instant petition be also disposed of in the same terms.

3. In view of above, the present petition is disposed of in terms of **Shree Krishan Sharma's case (supra)**.

4. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

31st AUGUST, 2023
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No