

103.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17410-2019

Date of Decision: 18.01.2023

SUMAN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash impugned result dated 08.06.2019 declared by respondent No.2 i.e. Haryana Staff Selection Commission regarding the appointment to 964 posts of Lower Division Clerks as advertised on 20.02.2016. The petitioner, who had the necessary qualification to be appointed as LDC under the General Category, applied and was then issued a roll number. She undertook the written examination and also presented herself for scrutiny of documents. The petitioner assails the said result on the ground that she, having scored adequate marks, ought to have been offered appointment.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued, a short reply has been filed wherein it has been stated that the petitioner could not be considered for appointment as she was not eligible and did not have a valid Computer Diploma. The petitioner has scored 109 marks whereas the last selected candidate in the General Category has scored 118 marks and the last person kept in the waiting list had scored 112 marks. The result of the petitioner had been produced and taken on record on 10.01.2023.

Since no one had put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner on the last date, Registry was directed to get the case noted from the counsel for the petitioner who has informed the Registry that he is no longer pursuing the matter.

I have perused the pleadings of the case and also heard counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents and find that the petitioner would not be entitled to any relief as sought by her, primarily on the ground that she does not have the necessary marks and is not either on the merit list or the waiting list. Consequently, the writ petition is dismissed.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.01.2023

sanjeev	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable:	Yes/No